

Canadian Institute of Mining's Virtual Convention - CIM 2021

The Importance of Front-End Planning and Leveraging Industry Best Practices to Minimize Project Risk

May 3rd - May 6th, 2021 On Demand Sessions





Introducing the Team



Speaker - Feroz Ashraf, Global Executive Advisor – Capital Projects, P.Eng (Ontario and Quebec)

Mr. Ashraf has extensive **experience** in the resource sector, including mining and metallurgy, oil and gas, infrastructure, power and related downstream industries. He is currently an Executive Advisor, Capital projects at PTAG Inc. He has **35+ years of EPC/EPC experience**, on over **300+ projects** ranging from **\$10million to over \$5 billion** across Canada and globally in over 25 countries. He was the Senior Project Officer, then COO and then CEO of an operating company with plants / projects in USA, Kazakhstan, Australia, and Tanzania. He is member of OIQ and PEO and is a guest lecturer on Project Management at York University- Schulich School of Mining (MBA program).



Michael Dubreuil, Managing Director, B.Math (Computer Science)

Mr. Dubreuil is the **Managing Director** for PTAG Inc., a leading global capital project/program management firm. He has 35 years of experience leading Projects and Organizations through significant development, restructuring, and process improvement. He currently serves as the **Chairman of the Sector Leadership Team of the Construction Industry Institute**. He is an Advisor to organizations on Contracting Strategies including - Industrial Integrated Project Delivery (I2PD).



Jeremy Rasmussen - Chief Technology Officer

Jeremy is a leading thinker in information and communications technology (ICT), mobile software, and open-source intelligence. With both **strategic and hands-on experience** ranging from software development architecture and networking system design for the project management sector. Jeremy is consistently on the leading edge of the role of technology in business and capital projects. He co-published and presented numerous papers on the role of mobile technology in complex industrial environments at industry conferences in Canada, the United States, and China. Jeremy is also a member of the Canadian Nuclear Associations Executive Committee and Board of Directors.



Agenda – Topics of Today's Session

On Demand Session on The Importance of Front-End Planning and Leveraging Industry Best Practices to Minimize Project Risk

- Opening Remarks
- PTAG Overview
- Topics for Today's session
 - 1. Failure as an Industry to Perform and Deliver Projects
 - 2. Top reasons why projects go off-track
 - 3. Front-End Planning what, why, how ?
 - 4. Leveraging Industry Best Practices
 - 5. Why a Disciplined Stage-Gate Process is Critical
 - 6. Project Set-up / Project Management and Project Controls Handbook
 - 7. Example of Project Complexity Model and Project Delivery Model (PTAG tools)
 - 8. Why Defining Proper List of Deliverables and Execution Plan important
- Summary and Conclusions

















PTAG Overview – Program & Project Management Specialists

Supporting our clients through all project phases of Major Project or Sustaining Capital Programs, PTAG Project Management experts have required experience to address complex project concerns, leverage industry best practices, provide proactive solutions to mitigate social, economic, environmental, technical and commercial concerns impacting cost, schedule, safety and quality concerns.

Our mission is to **increase project predictability and success rates** by incorporating collaborative and risk-sharing contracting strategies, foster true-partnerships focused on project objectives, proven and lean project management techniques, and state-of-the-art tools and systems adapted purpose fit for our client's projects.



Reaistered

Provider





- 1) Failure as an Industry to Perform!
- 2) Why Projects Go Off Track? Underlying Root Causes.
- 3) Front-End Planning (what, why, how)
- 4) Leveraging Best Practices for Improved Front End Planning

Scoping the right "**things**" for a good design basis the "**right product**" Setting the stage for a successful execution the "**right way**" Developing an execution plan in an organized way and...delivering the "**project**"



Failure as an EPC Industry to Perform! Mining Projects have yielded near zero rate of return since last 50 years.....

98%

Of projects over \$1 Billion exhibiting significant cost overruns.

> (Source: Brenden Bechtel, CII, Annual Conference 2016)



Of large scale industrial projects FAIL to meet business objectives.

(Source: Merrow 2011)



Of mega-projects experience schedule overruns. (Source: Ernst & Young 2014) ^{ир то} 57%

Of resources are wasted in construction, compared with 26% waste in manufacturing.

(Source: CII 2004)



On top of COVID Impact, There has been a global decline in mining productivity over the last 15 years ...

MineLens Productivity Index,

indexed, 2004 = 100



Source: McKinsey & Company



Mining lags behind other industries on digital maturity





SOURCE: Expert interviews; McKinsey analysis

COVID Impact is also GLOBAL and FAR Reaching on EPC Industry

The COVID-19 Impacts are Real

- Industry Study concludes Construction Productivity Decrease of 20% because of COVID-19
- Through open, transparent and frank communications all parties in a project can mitigate these impacts



Pandemics and Construction Productivity: Quantifying the Impact

PTAG

Figure 3 provides a table depicting the breakdown of hours collected and task coded to mitigation related activities:

	Total Hours	% of Total Hours	% of Mitigation Hours		
Total Hours Available	77,205				
Mitigation Safety & Training	1,598	2.1%	29.6%		
Mitigation Distancing & Access Rules	1,865	2.4%	34.6%		
Mitigation Cleaning & Disinfecting	1,400	1.8%	25.9%		
Mitigation Administration	532	0.7%	9.9%		
Total Mitigation Hours	5,394	7.0%	100.0%		



Figure 3: Hours by Task Code for Mitigation Activities

Figure 4: Mitigation Hours as a Percent of Total Hours by Week

Source: Pandemics and Construction Productivity: Quantifying the Impact By Maxim Consulting Group August 5, 2020



Key Reasons – Why Projects Go Off-Track....?



McKinsey & Company identifies the following factors accounting for poor productivity and cost outcomes:

- Poor Organization and Decision-Making
- Inadequate Communication
- Flawed Performance Management
- Contractual Misunderstandings
- Missed Connections
- Poor Short-Term Planning
- Insufficient Risk Management
- Limited Talent Management

Source: Changali, Mohammed, and Nieuwland "The Construction Productivity Imperative" McKinsey & Company. July 2015.

PTAG Mining Industry can gain 50-60% productivity over next 5-10 years by using the 3 distinct formulas

+10-15%

productivity



18-24

months

De-Risking the Project 5.

Source: Google Images

11

productivity

months



2



Front-End Planning – steppingstone to success

Front end planning (FEP) is the <u>essential process</u> of developing Sufficient Critical Information including Estimates, Schedules, Scope, Execution, and support plans so that owners can assess all the elements of a project to make a <u>fully informed</u> decision to commit resources to execute it.





Benefits of using Best Practices in Front End Planning

- Every \$1 spent on Front End Planning saves \$25 in Execution & Commissioning
- High use of Constructability results in up to 6% Cost improvement and reduces project
 Schedule by up to 7.5%
- "Fit for Purpose"
 Contracting & Partnering Strategy provide Owners with up to 9% in cost improvements



Best Practices That Support Front End Planning

Source CII Research



Teambuilding (Best Practice #1)

Elements

Alignment, teamwork, and team building appear to be variations of the same concept but are, in fact, three distinct concepts with different but complementary definitions.

- 1. Alignment addresses the concern of whether all team members are working toward the same, correct goal.
- 2. **Teamwork** involves team members' effective interaction, cooperation, and mutual support while working together.
- **3. Team building** is the process used to develop and enhance teamwork.

All three concepts are critical to the success of a project.





Alignment (Best Practice #2)

Elements

Aligning the project team involves:

- Developing clearly understood objectives for all team members and stakeholders
- Gaining the commitment from each to work toward those goals
- Include involvement from both owners and contractors.
- Projects are successful when owners and contractors are actively involved in the planning process and remain involved throughout the project.
- Few owners now have the ability to plan all aspects of a project. Contractors should never assume that the project has been adequately defined.



PTAG

PDRI – an Easy Start and Road map to Success (Best Practice # 3)

The tool(s) widely accepted through the Industry is the **Project Definition Rating Index** ("PDRI"). There are 9 separate tools that are specific to Industry type and project size and scale.

- A facilitated 2 to 4 hour session. With a series of Questions addressing:
 - Basis of Project Decision
 - Basis of Design
 - Execution Approach
- The output of the FEP Assessment is a list of action items to improve various Project Planning Elements – Designs, Plans, Permits, and Activities





Note: * Typical range of scores is based on experience on PDRI tools since 1996.

PTAG

Value Engineering (Best Practice # 4)



- Value Engineering can be performed at all phases of the project
- The earlier in the project value engineering is performed the better
- Potential cost savings and functional improvement impact decreases with each progressive phase of a project



Project Risk Assessment (Best Practice # 5)

Elements

Assessing risk is a project management activity done throughout the project life cycle.

The **relative importance of any specific risk depends** on the respective stakeholders, and could be different for different stakeholders.

It is essential to **get a broad range of perspectives** when assessing risk in order to arrive at a consensus on relative importance of risks.

- Organize and **formalize a risk management** process and keep it as simple as possible
- Begin early at Initiation to be most effective
- Keep a broad perspective to get the diversified input required.
- Undertake adequate front end planning, analysis, and engineering.
- Partner with owner and contractor management.
- Recognize that **certain projects are more prone to risk** and that experience in a jurisdiction is important.
- Risk documentation is critical.





Ranking the Opportunities and Threats

Consequence	1-Minor	2-Medium	3-Serious	4-Major	5-Catastrophic	
Likelihood						
A-Almost certain	Moderate	High	Critical	Critical	Critical	
B-Likely	Moderate	High	High	Critical	Critical	
C-Possible	Low	Moderate	High	Critical	Critical	
D-Unlikely	Low	Low	Moderate	High	Critical	
E-Rare	Low	Low	Moderate	High	High	



Risk Profile Improves as Front-End Planning Progresses

Its all about Management Philosophy & Risk Tolerance

It can be a skewed curve with low probability (skewed to left) or high probability (skewed to the right) !!

The Less Deviation the Better



Accuracy is Improved as Project is Better Defined **PTAG**







PTAG

Project Delivery and Contracting Strategy (Best Practice # 6)

Selecting a Project's Delivery and Contracting Strategy ("PDCS") is a core deliverable of Front-End Planning - ideally done during the Concept Phase to allow for early participation of key suppliers

 The <u>PDCS</u> encourages decision makers to identify and focus on the project objectives and other critical success factors early in project planning phase



The level of Engineering is one method to help select the Contract Strategy

PTAG Integrated Project Execution Plan (Best Practice # 7)

Using a Highly Collaborative an Integrated Project Delivery and Contracting Strategy allows for the development of an **Integrated Project Exection Plan ("IPEP")** during the Front-End Planning phases

- Project Execution Plan (PEP) is the project baseline and governing document
- Establishes in appropriate terms what will be done to meet the project scope and contractual requirements
- Describes the project plan in both a strategic and tactical way
- Approved by company management prior to publishing
- Live document and should be updated with current and future project details as developed through project phases

An IPEP involves all key **(Internal and External)** participants (the "Project Team") in its development is led by the Project Manager.





What is I2PD?



Improved Safety, Earlier Cost and Schedule Certainty, Optimized Design

24



Project Controls & PMIS Requirements in FEP (Best Practice # 8)



Inter-relationship Between Data Collection, Progress Measurement and Performance Assessment

Developing Your Workflow during FEP (Best Practice # 9)

PTAG



PM and PC processes are typically not well defined in FEP

PTAG

Activity Planning and Scheduling during FEP (Best Practice # 10)

•	Project Scope (scope statement)	•	Project Execution Plan (as required)
•	Engineering Deliverables (if any)	•	Key Contracts / POs (if any)
•	Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)	•	Key Milestones and / or Dates
•	Cost Breakdown Structure (CBS)	•	Logic Relationship (Start to Finish, etc.)
•	Activity Durations and / or Estimates of Time	•	Project Calendar (start to finish)
•	Resource Requirements & Availability	•	Constraints (Max Days of shutdown)
•	Key Risks (Risk Register)	•	Assumptions or Stakeholder Requirements
•	Scheduling Tools (Primavera P6)		

The perquisites above are required to plan and schedule key project activities at ever increasing levels of detail (Levels 1 through 3)



Simplify and Define List of Deliverables (Best Practice # 11)

Typically Project Team Follows a Fully Defined Corporate Guidelines (Problem: 1 size does not fit all)



Develop a simple Handbook (50-70 pages) with list of deliverables based on Project Complexity



PTAG List of Project Deliverables For – PDM-1 (High Complexity Project)

Sinal left inst of Requirements Front End Planning Front End Planning Execution Concept Front End Planning Execution FROM CSU Handword Business case Business Opportunity AAE AEE Image: Concept FROM CSU Handword Stage Gate Planning Pre-Feasibility Stage Plan Pre/Feasibility Stage Plan Pre/	Smaller list of		Project Delivery Type									
Requirements Front End Planning Front End Planning Execution Execution Rusines corport in the second	Smaller list of		PDM-1									
Concept Pre-Resibility Feasibility Detailed Scope & Eng. EPC(M) CSU Handown Business Oportunity AAF AFE AFE I <td colspan="2">Requirements</td> <td colspan="3">Front End Planning</td> <td colspan="3">Execution</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Requirements		Front End Planning			Execution						
Busines of port into into into into into into into int		Concept	Pre-Feasibility	Feasibility	De	tailed Scope & Eng.	EPC(M)	CSU	Handover			
Business case Business Cape Opportunity AAPE AAPE Image: Construction Plane Image: Construc												
Stage date has been been been been been been been bee	Business case	Business Opportunity	AAFE	AFE								
Stage Gate Plan in Project Level i									<u> </u>	EBC(M)	CSU	Handover
End-of-stage Report Stage Deliverable Checkili	Stage Gate Planning	Pre-Feasibility Stage Plan	Feasibility Stage Plan	Project Execution Pla	n					£. G(m)		Tandovei
Chird-scage Review Saige Deliverations Saige Deliverations Saige Deliverations Saige Deliverations Deliverat	End of store Deview	Otana Dalivanskia Okaabilat	Ctana Daliwarahia Chashiist	Ctore Delin, Checklin				Deline Cheerblief	Deline Cheeduliet			
Trade-off Studies & Options Options Assessment Image: Concept Stage Report Options Report Image: Concept Stage Report <th< td=""><td>End-of-stage Review</td><td>Stage Deliverable Checklist</td><td>Stage Deliverable Checklist</td><td>Stage Deliv. Checklis</td><td>t</td><td></td><td></td><td>Deliv. Checklist</td><td>Deliv. Checklist</td><td></td><td></td><td>Satisfaction</td></th<>	End-of-stage Review	Stage Deliverable Checklist	Stage Deliverable Checklist	Stage Deliv. Checklis	t			Deliv. Checklist	Deliv. Checklist			Satisfaction
Name Concept dage Report Option's Assessment Image	Trade_off Studies & Option(s)	Concept Stage Penert	Ontione Assessment									Survey
Value Engineering & VP Value Improv Practice Pan PFS Stage Technical Report FS Stage Technical Report IFC Design I	Trade-on Studies & Option(s)	Concept Stage Report	Options Assessment									
Non-signation Interpret Oregin (interpret) Non-signation Interpret Interpre	Value Engineering & VIP	Value Improv Practice Plan	PFS Stage Technical Report	ES Stage Tech, Repor	+	IFC Design						
Project Execution Strategy Init. Process Haz. Ass. Final Proce				i o otago room ropo.	-							
Project Execution Strategy Comparative Construction Strategy				Init. Process Haz. Ass	. Final Pr	ocess Hazard Assessment						
Comparative Constr. Review Image: Construction Strategy Construction Strategy<	Project Execution Strategy			Constructability Revie	w							
Commercial & Construction Strategy Image: Commercial & C			Comparative Constr. Review				Construction	Commissioning	Close-out			
Image: black	Commercial & Construction Strategy											
Operations Readiness Image: Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Image: Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Image: Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Image: Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Image: Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Image: Comp. Operations Readiness Plan <								Deficiency List				
Operations Readiness Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Hand Over Close-out Image: Close-out								Ramp-up			<u> </u>	
Operations Readiness Comp. Oper. Readiness Req. Oper. Readiness Plan Operations Readiness Activities Hand Over Close-out												
Management of Change Management of Change Management	Operations Readiness		Comp. Oper. Readiness Req.	Oper. Readiness Plar	1	Operations Readiness Act	ivities	Hand Over	Close-out			
Notestand Notes			Management of Change								<u> </u>	
Network			Internetion the	Burgement Drawing to	eques:						<u> </u>	
Network			Risk Management			Risk Register						
ORANGE are MANDATORY. Monitoring and Control Project Risk Review Image: Control Review			Risk A Risk & Mitigation	ssessment measures		Prelim. Risk Assessment	Risk Assessme	ent				
Procurement Strategies Bids Reviews Image: Contract Strategies Dids Reviews Image: Contract Strategies Image: Contract Strat	ORANGE are MAI	NDATORY.	Monitoring a Procurement/Contrac	nd Control		Project Risk Review Request for Quote						
YELLOW are RECOMMENDED. Order Management SAP - Change Order Requests Order Management Contract Management Contract Management Partial Release Order Management			Procurement	Strategies		Bids Reviews					ļ	
Faital Release	<u>YELLOW</u> are <u>RECC</u>	<u>OMMENDED</u> .	Order Ma Contract Ma	inagement		SAP - Change Order Requests		Pa	tial Palasea			
GREEN are OPTIONAL	GREEN are OPTIC	ΝΛΙ	Contract Ma					Fi	nal Release			

Multiple Pages of Detailed Requirements

PTAG

List of Project Deliverables For – PDM-4 (Low Complexity Project)

Smaller list of	Project Delivery Type PDM-4												
Requirements	Front End Planning			Execution				ject Delivery Type					
-	0	D. D. 199	Example 1		0011		PD	M-4					
	Concept	Pre-Feasibility	Feasibility	Detailed Scope & Eng.	EPC(M)	CSU	Handover		Execution				
								oility	Detailed Scope & Eng.	EPC(M)	CSU	Handover	
Business case	Business Opportunity	AAFE	AFE										
												Sponsor	
Stage Gate Planning	Pre-Feasibility Stage Plan	Feasibility Stage Plan	Project Execution Plan									Satisfaction	
End-of-stage Review	Stage Deliverable Checklist	Stage Deliverable Checklist	Stage Deliv. Checklist			Deliv. Checklist	Deliv. Checklis	-2i			<u> </u>		
									(as applicable)				
Trade-off Studies & Option(s)	Concept Stage Report	Options Assessment						ge Notice Log					
								aseline L3					
Value Engineering & VIP	Value Improv Practice Plan	PFS Stage Technical Report	FS Stage Tech. Report	IFC Design									
								1.5%					
			Init Process Haz Ass	Final Process Hazard Assessmen	•			13%					
Project Execution Strategy			Constructshility Boylow		•								
Project Execution Strategy			Constructability Review					n Review					
		Comparative Constr. Review			Construction	Commissioning	Close-out	eview					
Commercial & Construction Strategy								_					
						Deficiency List							
						Ramp-up							
								iews					
Operations Readiness		Comp. Oper. Readiness Req.	Oper. Readiness Plan	Operations Readiness A	ctivities	Hand Over	Close-out						
		Management of Change											
		indiagonioni or oriango											
				Risk Assessment		Prelim, Risk Assess	ment I Risk Ass	essment					
				Risk & Mitigation measures									
				Monitoring and Control		Project Risk Revi	ew						
ORANGE are MANDATORY.		Procurement Strategies		Bids Reviews	te								
		Contract Strategies		SAP - Purchase Rec	uests								
	014/ BE001			Order Management		SAP - Change Order R	equests		Dential Data and				
YELL	<u>LOW</u> are <u>RECOM</u>	<u>VIENDED</u> .		Contract Management					Final Release				
0.05													
GRE	EN are OPTIONA	L											

Multiple Pages of Detailed Requirements





Summary

Project Set-up, Initiation, Kick-off, and Alignment

2

Stage Gate Reviews, Project Audit & Assurance

3

4

5

Team Structure & Composition

(Owners Team and Contractors)

Governance / Policies and Procedures + Deploy & Invest in Industry Best Practices (&Tools)

 Prioritization with the Operational / Sustaining Capital Objectives



Project Risk Analyses Realization and Mitigation Strategies



Project Management Information Management, Set-up & Integration



QA/QC Reviews, Permits and

Stakeholder Management Plan (CSR Plan)



Site Planning and Logistics, Technical Issues, Operational Input & Reviews, and Handover

10 Look Ahead Plan with Project Execution Strategy and Resource Loaded Schedule with "pull planning process"

It is not all about technical deliverables but managing the BIG picture (from A-Z) – 10 steps approach



What are other things to consider ?

- 1) Define or minimise the **impact of force majeure and COVID-19**
- 2) Develop the **Execution Plan** based on Project Complexity Model and Deploy Suitable Project Delivery Model (PTAG's Tool to determine the List of Deliverables)
- 3) Ensure that team develops a **Robust Supply Chain** Program with close attention to delivery and fabrication
- 4) Constructability, AWP, Site Planning (pre-assembly and pre-fab) etc.
- 5) Bigger Camp Considerations due to physical distancing
- 6) **Risk sharing** and collaborative approach remove execution barriers and duplications.
- 7) Give the team a handbook to align themselves better

PTAG

Value of Front-End Planning & Best Practice Implementation





Questions and Answers

Feroz Ashraf, Executive Advisor, Capital Project Feroz.Ashraf@PTAGinc.com cell: 416-587-8747



Michael Dubreuil, Managing Partner Michael.Dubreuil@PTAGinc.com cell: 416-500-3954





"We can't direct the wind but we can adjust the sails" - T. Monson

